



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,276	12/22/1999	RICHARD KOLODNER	157/47483-C	5964	
75	90 01/06/2003				
NIXON PEABODY LLP			EXAMINER		
101 FEDERAL ST BOSTON, MA 02115			FREDMAN, JEFF	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	99	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003	21	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	X					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/470,276	KOLODNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, i ation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum y period will apply and will expire SIX (to by statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>26 November 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	\boxtimes This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-8,10,12 and 39-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,12,29,40 and 42-45</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,6-8,10,41 and 46-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · ·	• • •				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 26, 2002 has been entered.

General

2. Claim 3 utilizes the transitional term "containing". Because this term lacks any particular meaning in the patent literature, the examiner will interpret "containing" as being equivalent in scope to the open term "comprising".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3, 6, 8, 41, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillier et al (Genbank Accession No. T67203).

Hillier teaches a nucleic acid sequence which is an 303 nucleotide isolated nucleotide segment that is less than 3000 nucleotides and that contains a fragment of at least 25 contiguous nucleotides from the coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1 as shown in

Art Unit: 1637

the alignment below. In particular, the coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1 extends from nucleotides 235 to 2737 (see response by Applicant, paper No. 20). The Hillier sequence extends from nucleotides 2582 to 2737, representing a sequence comprising 156 nucleotides of the coding sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Hillier further teaches that the isolated nucleic acid was in a vector in a host cell, specifically a DH10B library (see lab host).

```
Query Match
                    10.4%;
                          Score 303; DB 14;
Pred. No. 3.9e-62;
                                          Length 466;
  Best Local Similarity
                    100.0%;
        303; Conservative
                            Mismatches
    2582 CCATCAAGCCTGTCAAGGATTTGCTAAAGAAGAACCAAATGGAAAATTGCCAGACATTAG 2641
Qy
      Db
    2642 TGGATAAGTTTATGAAACTGGATTTGGAAGATCCTAACCTGGACTTGAACGTTTTCATGA 2701
Qу
        Db
     61 TGGATAAGTTTATGAAACTGGATTTGGAAGATCCTAACCTGGACTTGAACGTTTTCATGA 120
    2702 GCCAGGAAGTGCTGCCTGCCACCAGCATCCTCTGAGAGTCCTTCCAGTGTCCTCCCC 2761
    121 GCCAGGAAGTGCTGCCTGCCACCAGCATCCTCTGAGAGTCCTTCCAGTGTCCTCCCC 180
Db
    2762 AGCCTCCTGAGACTCCGGTGGGCTGCCATGCCCTCTTTGTTTCCTTATCTCCCTCAGACG 2821
Qу
        181 AGCCTCCTGAGACTCCGGTGGGCTGCCATGCCCTCTTTGTTTCCTTATCTCCCTCAGACG 240
Db
    2822 CAGAGTTTTTAGTTTCTCTAGAAATTTTGTTTCATATTAGGAATAAAGTTTATTTTGAAG 2881
Qу
        Dh
    241 CAGAGTTTTTAGTTTCTCTAGAAATTTTGTTTCATATTAGGAATAAAGTTTATTTTGAAG 300
Qу
    2882 AAA 2884
Db
    301 AAA 303
```

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Promega catalog (1993/94) p. 166.

The Promega catalog teaches a kit with a set of DNA primers which permit synthesis of the coding sequence of hMSH5, specifically the random hexameric primers (p. 166).

Art Unit: 1637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillier as applied to claims 3 and 6 in view of Vanin et al (U.S. Patent 5,710,037).

Hillier teaches a nucleic acid sequence which is an 303 nucleotide isolated nucleotide segment that is less than 3000 nucleotides and that contains a fragment of at least 25 contiguous nucleotides from the coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1 as shown in the alignment below. In particular, the coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1 extends from nucleotides 235 to 2737 (see response by Applicant, paper No. 20). The Hillier sequence extends from nucleotides 2582 to 2737, representing a sequence comprising 156 nucleotides of the coding sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Hillier further teaches that

Art Unit: 1637

the isolated nucleic acid was in a vector in a host cell, specifically a DH10B library (see lab host).

```
10.4%; Score 303; DB 14; 100.0%; Pred. No. 3.9e-62;
 Query Match
                                        Length 466:
 Best Local Similarity
                        0; Mismatches
        303; Conservative
                                        Indels
    2582 CCATCAAGCCTGTCAAGGATTTGCTAAAGAAGAACCAAATGGAAAATTGCCAGACATTAG 2641
       Db
      1 CCATCAAGCCTGTCAAGGATTTGCTAAAGAAGAACCAAATGGAAAATTGCCAGACATTAG 60
    2642 TGGATAAGTTTATGAAACTGGATTTGGAAGATCCTAACCTGGACTTGAACGTTTTCATGA 2701
     Db
   2702 GCCAGGAAGTGCTGCCTGCCACCAGCATCCTCTGAGAGTCCTTCCAGTGTCCTCCCC 2761
       121 GCCAGGAAGTGCTGCCTGCCACCAGCATCCTCTGAGAGTCCTTCCAGTGTCCTCCCC 180
   2762 AGCCTCCTGAGACTCCGGTGGGCTGCCATGCCCTCTTTGTTTCCTTATCTCCCTCAGACG 2821
Oν
       181 AGCCTCTGAGACTCCGGTGGGCTGCCATGCCCTCTTTGTTTCCTTATCTCCCTCAGACG 240
Db
Qу
   2822 CAGAGTTTTTAGTTTCTCTAGAAATTTTGTTTCATATTAGGAATAAAGTTTATTTTGAAG 2881
       241 CAGAGTTTTTAGTTTCTCTAGAAATTTTGTTTCATATTAGGAATAAAGTTTATTTTGAAG 300
Qv
   2882 AAA 2884
Db
    301 AAA 303
```

Hillier does not teach placement of the sequence into a retroviral vector.

Vanin teaches placement of genes of interest into retroviral vectors (column 3, lines 18-45).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the retroviral vector of Vanin to express the EST of Hillier since Vanin states "Attractive features of retroviral vectors include flexibility, that is the variety of coding sequences that can be transferred, high although variable transduction efficiency, and stability of the proviral genome once integrated into a host cell chromosome (column 1, lines 21-24)". An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to clone the EST of Hillier into a retroviral vector as taught by Vanin since the vector is flexible, stable and has high transduction efficiency.

Art Unit: 1637

9. Claims 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillier et al as applied to claims 3 and 41 in view of Dattagupta et al (EP 297,379).

Hillier teaches a nucleic acid sequence which is an 303 nucleotide isolated nucleotide segment that is less than 3000 nucleotides and that contains a fragment of at least 25 contiguous nucleotides from the coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1 as shown in the alignment below. In particular, the coding region of SEQ ID NO: 1 extends from nucleotides 235 to 2737 (see response by Applicant, paper No. 20). The Hillier sequence extends from nucleotides 2582 to 2737, representing a sequence comprising 156 nucleotides of the coding sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Hillier further teaches that the isolated nucleic acid was in a vector in a host cell, specifically a DH10B library (see lab host).

```
10.4%; Score 303; DB 14; 100.0%; Pred. No. 3.9e-62;
  Query Match
                                         Length 466;
  Best Local Similarity
                         0; Mismatches
        303;
            Conservative
                                         Indels
                                                          0;
                                                    Gaps
    2582 CCATCAAGCCTGTCAAGGATTTGCTAAAGAAGAACCAAATGGAAAATTGCCAGACATTAG 2641
Qу
        Db
      1 CCATCAAGCCTGTCAAGGATTTGCTAAAGAAGAACCAAATGGAAAATTGCCAGACATTAG 60
    2642 TGGATAAGTTTATGAAACTGGATTTGGAAGATCCTAACCTGGACTTGAACGTTTTCATGA 2701
Qу
     Db
    2702 GCCAGGAAGTGCTGCCTGCCACCAGCATCCTCTGAGAGTCCTTCCAGTGTCCTCCCC 2761
Qу
        121 GCCAGGAAGTGCTGCCTGCCACCAGCATCCTCTGAGAGTCCTTCCAGTGTCCTCCCC 180
Db
    2762 AGCCTCCTGAGACTCCGGTGGGCTGCCATGCCCTCTTGTTTCCTTATCTCCCTCAGACG 2821
Qγ
       181 AGCCTCCTGAGACTCCGGTGGGCTGCCATGCCCTCTTTGTTTCCTTATCTCCCTCAGACG 240
Db
   2822 CAGAGTTTTTAGTTTCTCTAGAAATTTTGTTTCATATTAGGAATAAAGTTTATTTTGAAG 2881
Qу
       CAGAGTTTTTAGTTTCTCTAGAAATTTTGTTTCATATTAGGAATAAAGTTTATTTTGAAG 300
Dh
   2882 AAA 2884
Qy
Db
    301 AAA 303
```

Hillier does not teach labeling the nucleic acid.

Art Unit: 1637

Dattagupta teaches the use of fluorescence labels (column 9, lines 25-31).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the nucleic acid of Hillier with the fluorescent labels of Dattagupta since Dattagupta states "the incorporation of labels will be the direct indication of the specific processes and hence the presence of a specific test sequence (column 9, lines 27-30)". An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to use the fluorescent labels of Dattagupta with the nucleic acid of Hillier for the stated benefit of identifying a specific target sequence and the expected benefits of sensitivity and specificity known in the art to result from fluorescent labels.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 2, 4, 12, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44 and 45 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claimed sequences are novel and unobvious over the cited prior art.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed November 26, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the new Hillier rejections, Applicant's arguments are moot, since they are drawn to rejections which are no longer applicable and which are withdrawn.

With regard to the Promega catalog rejection, Applicant argues that the primers are selected from the isolated segments of claim 3. This statement is not correct.

Claim 10 does not refer to claim 3 in any way and is an independent claim. The

Art Unit: 1637

hexamer primers would achieve the only functional result required by claim 10 and consequently anticipate the claim. This rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

January 3, 2003